OGC Has Reviewed 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070283-9

	The executive 29 August 1949	
	Office of General Counsel	
X1A	Audit Exe ption Ro. 382 -	
5X1A	I. There is returned benevith a monorandum from the Budget officer to year, dated 17 August 1969, concerning the above subjet. That memorandum was forwarded to this office for comment and recommendation. Your remarks concerning statements by Mr. and Pr. have been noted.	25X1A
	and we should like to so ment that no independent investigation has been made by this effice to accortain additional facts. In our	
25X1A	opinite, considerable doubt has seen cast upon the circumstances surrounding hirs. Example employment and travel to the It is time there are conflicting totements. However, one salient fact remains, and that fact is, hirs.	25X1A
	desire of joining her husband in the covernment received no benefit as the result of her employment and travel to since the bovernment derived no benefit and him. I did receive considerable pursonal benefit, it is our opinion that the relative	25X1A 25X1A
	ben fits, together with the doubts as to the bona fides of the situation, require reimburement to CIA by Mrs. of her travel expenses from Washington, D.C. to	25X1A 25X1A
	3. In normal deveragent audit procedures, the facts of the particular case have considerably more weight than the expressed intentions of addividuals witch are contrary to such facts. It is not necessary mat, as a condition proceden to reimbursement, there be proved an actual fraud on the Government. Where the individual derives all of the bondiits and the Government none we do not see one can escape the obligation of the expenses incident to the pointing of a personal objective.	
	h. The question of salary refund is not not sarrily to be considered in a same category of travel expenses for the same period. In the absence of fraud, we know of no legal requirements we	h ich

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

co: Subject Chrono Legal Decisions \

would a pressitate r fund of salary payments.